

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION (CBSC)
REGARDING THE NEXT TRIENNIAL EDITION
OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE (CBC),
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS (CCR), TITLE 24, PART 2

ADOPT AND AMEND NEW MODEL CODE FOR USE IN CALIFORNIA AS TITLE 24, PART 2

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

The CBSC finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for changes to format consistent with other state agencies.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The California Building Standards Commission has determined that the proposed regulatory action would impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts. However, the mandate does not require reimbursement pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code. H&SC section 18928 requires the CBSC to adopt the most current edition of the model codes. H&SC 18938(b) makes applicable the most current edition of the model building code to all occupancies throughout the State of California as prescribed.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

The CBSC did not receive any objections or recommendations regarding the proposed regulations.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

The CBSC has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

There were no proposed alternatives. The CBSC has determined that the proposed regulations will have no adverse impact on small businesses

COMMENTS MADE BY THE OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE

The CBSC received no comments from this office.

COMMENTS MADE BY THE TRADE AND COMMERCE AGENCY

The CBSC received no comments from this agency.